

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD  
REGION 19**

**ABM ONSITE SERVICES - WEST, INC.**

**Employer**

**and**

**INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF  
MACHINISTS & AEROSPACE WORKERS,  
DISTRICT LODGE W24, AFL-CIO**

**Petitioner**

**Case 19-RC-144377**

**TYPE OF ELECTION: RD DIRECTED**

**CERTIFICATION OF REPRESENTATIVE**

An election has been conducted under the Board's Rules and Regulations. The Tally of Ballots shows that a collective-bargaining representative has been selected. No timely objections have been filed.

As authorized by the National Labor Relations Board, it is certified that a majority of the valid ballots have been cast for International Association of Machinists & Aerospace Workers, District Lodge W24, AFL-CIO and that it is the exclusive collective-bargaining representative of the employees in the following appropriate unit.

**Unit:** All full-time and regular part-time jammer technicians and dispatchers employed by the Employer at the Portland International Airport in Portland, Oregon; excluding office and clerical employees, administrative assistants, professional employees, managerial employees, guards and supervisors as defined in the Act.

Dated at Portland, Oregon on April 10, 2015.

RONALD K. HOOKS  
Regional Director



By:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jessica Dietz", is written over a horizontal line.

JESSICA DIETZ  
Officer in Charge  
NLRB, Subregion 36  
Green-Wyatt Federal Building  
1220 SW 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue, Suite 605  
Portland, OR 97204

## NOTICE OF BARGAINING OBLIGATION

In the recent representation election, a labor organization received a majority of the valid votes cast. Except in unusual circumstances, unless the results of the election are subsequently set aside in a post-election proceeding, the employer's legal obligation to refrain from unilaterally changing bargaining unit employees' terms and conditions of employment begins on the date of the election.

The employer is not precluded from changing bargaining unit employees' terms and conditions during the pendency of post-election proceedings, **as long as** the employer (a) gives sufficient notice to the labor organization concerning the proposed change(s); (b) negotiates in good faith with the labor organization, upon request; and (c) good faith bargaining between the employer and the labor organization leads to agreement or overall lawful impasse.

This is so even if the employer, or some other party, files objections to the election pursuant to Section 102.69 of the Rules and Regulations of the National Labor Relations Board (the Board). If the objections are later overruled and the labor organization is certified as the employees' collective-bargaining representative, the employer's obligation to refrain from making unilateral changes to bargaining unit employees' terms and conditions of employment begins on the date of the election, not on the date of the subsequent decision by the Board or court. Specifically, the Board has held that, absent exceptional circumstances,<sup>1</sup> an employer acts at its peril in making changes in wages, hours, or other terms and conditions of employment during the period while objections are pending and the final determination about certification of the labor organization has not yet been made.

It is important that all parties be aware of the potential liabilities if the employer unilaterally alters bargaining unit employees' terms and conditions of employment during the pendency of post-election proceedings. Thus, typically, if an employer makes post-election changes in employees' wages, hours, or other terms and conditions of employment without notice to or consultation with the labor organization that is ultimately certified as the employees' collective-bargaining representative, it violates Section 8(a)(1) and (5) of the National Labor Relations Act since such changes have the effect of undermining the labor organization's status as the statutory representative of the employees. This is so even if the changes were motivated by sound business considerations and not for the purpose of undermining the labor organization. As a remedy, the employer could be required to: 1) restore the status quo ante; 2) bargain, upon request, with the labor organization with respect to these changes; and 3) compensate employees, with interest, for monetary losses resulting from the unilateral implementation of these changes, until the employer bargains in good faith with the labor organization, upon request, or bargains to overall lawful impasse.

---

<sup>1</sup> Exceptions may include the presence of a longstanding past practice, discrete event, or exigent economic circumstance requiring an immediate response.

The above Certification of Representation was issued to the following parties on April 10, 2015:

Douglas Ward Hall, Attorney  
Ford Harrison, LLP  
1300 19th St NW Ste 300  
Washington, DC 20036-1628

Kathy McCloy, HR Rep for PAC Group  
Bonnie Wagoner, Site Supervisor  
ABM Onsite Services - West, Inc.  
7000 NE Airport Way, Suite 3110  
Portland, OR 97218

David Neigus  
Associate General Counsel  
IAMAW  
9000 Machinists Place  
Upper Marlboro, MD 20772-2675

Matthew R. Mckinnon, Grand Lodge Representative  
IAMAW  
620 Coolidge Drive, Suite 130  
Folsom, CA 95630

Will Lukens, Business Representative  
IAMAW, District Lodge W24  
25 Cornell Ave  
Gladstone, OR 97027-2547  
(Showing of Interest returned)